




Typical Footprint Comparison of Cycle Storage Methods

Sheffield Stand*





318 m²
204 bikes - 0.6 bikes/m²

2-Tier Bicycle Racks*





137 m²
204 bikes - 1.5 bikes/m²

ECO Cycle: Above Ground



51 m²
204 bikes - 4.0 bikes/m²

















ECO Cycle: Below Ground



8 m²
204 bikes - 25.5 bikes/m²

*Distances between rows and cycle stands correspond to London Design Guidelines.

Typical Footprint Comparison of Cycle Storage Methods

	2-Tier Bicycle Racks*	ECO Cycle: Below Ground System
204 bikes	  <p>137 m² 1.5 bikes/m²</p>	  <p>1 system: 8 m² 25.5 bikes/m²</p> <p><i>5.8% of 2-tier system area, saving 129 m²/1,383 ft²</i></p>
408 bikes	  <p>253 m² 1.6 bikes/m²</p>	  <p>2 systems: 50 m² 8.2 bikes/m²</p> <p><i>19.8% of 2-tier system area, saving 203 m²/2,185 ft²</i></p>   <p>2 systems: 21 m² 19.4 bikes/m²</p> <p><i>8.3% of 2-tier system area, saving 232 m²/2,497 ft²</i></p>
612 bikes	  <p>350 m² 1.7 bikes/m²</p>	  <p>3 systems: 93 m² 6.6 bikes/m²</p> <p><i>26.6% of 2-tier system area, saving 257 m²/2,766 ft²</i></p>   <p>3 systems: 32 m² 19.1 bikes/m²</p> <p><i>9.1% of 2-tier system area, saving 318 m²/3,423 ft²</i></p>

*Distances between rows and cycle stands correspond to London Design Guidelines.